

George Mercier
P.O. Box 46711
Las Vegas, Nevada 89114

U.S. DISTRICT COURT
N.D. OF N.Y.
FILED

MAY 16 2007

LAWRENCE K. BAERMAN, CLERK
ALBANY

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK- *at Albany*

George Mercier- Plaintiff

COMPLAINT

Vs.

Case No.:

07 -CV- 0523

LEK /DRH

George Mercier- Defendant
Michelle Mercier- Defendant
Michael D. Mercier- Defendant
Susan Mercier- Defendant
Thomas Voelkle- Defendant
Sandra Frankel- Defendant
Patrick O'Flynn- Defendant
James Godshel- Defendant
Patricia D. Marks- Defendant
Elma A. Bellini- Defendant
Thomas Van Stryndonck- Defendant
John J. Ark- Defendant
..along with..
The Republic of France- Interested Party

This Action shall be known as **Mercier vs Mercier**, et al.

COMES NOW THE Plaintiff, George Mercier, with a Cause of Action against the above referenced Defense Defendants, under FRCP Rule 3, to wit:

THIS ACTION IS A **SELIN-CLASS** DIRECT ATTACK ON A CRIMINAL CASE ASSEMBLED BY THE BRIGHTON POLICE DEPARTMENT IN THE STATE OF NEW YORK. IN ORDER TO QUALIFY FOR DIRECT ATTACK UNDER **SELIN**, THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT REQUIRES THAT THE CRIMINAL CASE FIRST BE PRESENTED TO, AND SIGNED OFF BY, A JUDGE. THE JUDGE WHO SIGNED FOR THIS PARTICULAR CASE IS FEDERAL JUDGE MICHAEL A. TELESKA, SITTING IN DIVERSITY, PERSECUTION, AND ROCHESTER, NEW YORK.

Prefation Recitals:

1. The Plaintiff, George Mercier is a former resident of the State of New York, who moved to Las Vegas in the year 2000- actually fled- to avoid further and additional persecution from corrupt men.
2. Defendant George Mercier is the father of the Plaintiff, and resides in the State of New York.
3. Defendant Michelle Mercier is the sister of the Defendant
4. Defendant Michael D. Mercier is the Brother of the Plaintiff.
5. Defendant Susan Mercier is the sister of the Plaintiff.
6. Defendant Thomas Voelkle is the Chief of Police of the Brighton Police Department, in suburban Rochester New York.
7. Defendant Sandra Frankel is the Supervisor of the Town of Brighton, and Thomas Voelkle's supervisor.
8. Defendants Patrick O'Flynn and James Godshell are the Sheriff and undersheriff of the Monroe Court Sheriff's Department, over the Town of Brighton [known locally as "Area B"].
9. Defendants Patricia D. Marks and Elma A. Bellini are the Judges of Monroe County Court.
10. Defendants Thomas VanStrydonck and John J. Ark are the Justices on the New York State Supreme Court, who sit on criminal cases over the Town of Brighton.

11. Jurisdiction: Under 28 U.S.C. 1332 ["Diversity"], with the controlling law being the statutes and Constitution of the State of New York and State of Nevada.

Introductory Comments:

In the winter months of the year 1959, French motion picture producer Francois Truffant produced a movie he entitled LES QUATRE CENT COUPS ["...400 Blows"]. The environmental forum he selected was downtown metropolitan Paris France, and he further selected the dating of the movie to be back in the 1930s, before the Second World War- be reason of the aging of the motor vehicles and the 1800's planted bumpy rocks in the streets that he selected to film in [the streets in the City of Paris used to be all rocks-in-the-street, for the purpose of slowing down the animals as recently as the 1800s and early 1900s.]

Francois Truffant presented a family scene- of a mother who took money from the Paris Police Department, went home, and then had some frivolous, yet high temperature, arguments with her husband. Their child was a young teenager- a nice guy, but his mother took police money- so at the end of the film, he gets put away in a juvenile detention facility in coastal France.

The Plaintiff has lived through it all: Heated arguments and police money falling out of their pockets.

ONCE MORE, This Court is asked to return to a recurring problem with the Brighton Police Department in the State of New York:

1. They have broken into the residence of the Plaintiff and stolen his files and clothes, and other personal items. Chief Voelkle does this by sitting back in his office, and asking the painter at the Diplomat Apartments by telephone to "lift" this or that, which is then sometimes flown out of the area.
2. On previous occasions, the Brighton Police have stolen 2 Real Estate occupational licenses- from NEW YORK and MASSACHUSETTS, and has obstructed the IRS from administering their annual October Exam to hire the Plaintiff as an IRS Enrolled Agent [Enrolled IRS Agents are actually certified private practitioners].
3. They have given money to the father of the Plaintiff, who conducts an ugly campaign of slander and propaganda from his offices in the State of New York.
4. They have approached his brother, two sisters, and parents, and have asked them to turn against the Plaintiff, sitting as State's Evidence.
5. They have called up the Plaintiff's two prior Nevada Employers- Frank Higgins and Dalton Cannors- and have subjected both men to humiliating, scorching, defamatory, and derogatory lectures from the father of the Plaintiff, as the Brighton Police have him talk to numerous personalities nationwide for his kind of disgusting slander and propaganda- which is particularly selfish and unsettling. Both Nevada Employers would be firing the Plaintiff frivolously in violation of the Civil Rights Act of 1964- and the State of Nevada/NERC would be snickering at him to his face and refusing to Order his Reinstatement.
6. After his Dalton Connors firing, the Plaintiff still had duplicates of his two previously stolen business occupational licenses: He mailed his two business licenses to his dad- to be forwarded on to national auto Dealer Bill Heard- only to receive back a Mercier/Rochester denial that they were received at all. After the Las Vegas burglary and theft, the Brighton Police had actually given him the stolen Licenses to look at.

NOW THEREFORE, on this day, the Sister of the Plaintiff had travelled out to Nevada to see the Plaintiff, and when asked to speak to his dad, his dad asked him to see a UNLV "Coach"- Joe Felix- rather than have a business deal for the millions and millions of dollars that the Town of Brighton has directed to his own personal enrichment over the decades.

That alleged UNLV "Coach" was probably a psychiatrist, so the Brighton Police can have a renewal of their slander and propaganda campaign against the Plaintiff.

Legal Claims Submitted:

These legal claims fall under the Diversity Jurisdiction of this United States District Court, and are drawn from the laws of the United States, the State of New York and its municipalities in corporate form and existence, and from the Ninth Circuit and the Laws of the State of Nevada. Voelkle, Frankel, and others from the Town of Brighton have made a large number of telephone calls into Clark County Nevada- and there are subject to State of Nevada supervision of their activities [which they think that a Federal Judge has immunized them from].

The Plaintiff hereby reclaims the Fourth Amendment- **Per Se Rule**- and **Totten** , and the Parent-Child Privilege, as restraining the police in the State of New York from removing “evidence” from the relatives of the Plaintiff, to be used against him in some future criminal case of theirs. Their claims of right by “contracting” are vitiated by the Fourth Amendment.

The Plaintiff hereby reclaims **Richmond Newspapers** in the United States Supreme Court- which stands for a veil of privacy that a man’s legal pleadings are closed to further environmental distortional slander by way of Governmental presentation to his relatives- for damages sounding in derogatory defamation. **Richmond Newspapers** is a First Amendment restraint on the Government; for further environmental commentary, see **New Jersey Media** at 308 F.3rd 198 (October 2002).

The Plaintiff hereby accuses Defendants Thomas Voelkle, Patrick O’Flynn, James Godshel, Sandra Frankel, Elma Bellini, Patricia D. Marks, Thomas Van Strydonck, and John J. Ark , each and all of them of sitting in Conspiracy in violation of the Constitution, and decided what amount of economic wealth that the Plaintiff “...would be permitted” to have. Each is charged with federal robbery that crosses a State Line- a dozen criminal violations of 18 U.S.C. 242 et seq [“Conspiracy- Civil Rights”] each.

The Plaintiff hereby Impeaches the “evidence” removed from each of his relatives- Hearsay Evidence in violation of the Sixth Amendment- by reason of prior police distortional interviewing [fairly coercive from time-to-time] and evidence rearrangement, refitting, and reconstitution.


The Plaintiff hereby Impeaches the use of “collective knowledge” by the Brighton Police Department in its use of childish and unpersuasive Tax Protester-ish legal arguments as a substitution for Probable Cause. The United States Supreme Court has never passed upon the police practice of “collective knowledge” as a permissible substitute for Probable Cause- but many of the Circuit Courts of Appeals have. The Ninth Circuit approval of the matter was recently published in **United States vs. Ramirez**, at 473 F.3rd 1026 (January 16, 2007). The Plaintiff hereby Impeaches the use of “collective knowledge” against him on the legal grounds and for the reason that the expansive duration of time used by the Brighton Police was never approved in the Circuit Courts for the presented few stretched moments on a related circumstances generating a single Case, that the police needed, asked for, and were given in their published emergency Cases.

To: The United States of America

For himself, and his daughter, Marisa C. Mercier residing in Salt Lake City, the Plaintiff hereby claims the Fifth Amendment/Self Incrimination Clause on the following items:

1. On their names, their Social Security Numbers, Dates of Birth, Places of Birth, relatives, relational associations, state-of-mind, current and prior temperament, plans, collegiate plans, oral statements, gestures, meanings, intentions, and against the presentation of any Governmental identification or the acknowledgement of identification through any Expert Witness or relative.
2. On each and every single encounter that they have ever had with their relatives- oral, telephonic, and in writing. All legal submissions from relatives claiming "encounter" knowledge are hereby Impeached under the Fourth Amendment, and are unlawful Clandestine Activity.
3. On their own actual, inferred, reconstructed, and implied present and future occupations, residences, commercial destinations, educational venues, and travellings.
4. On their whereabouts- past, present, and future;
5. On their own literary writings, notes, scribblings, and memoranda, from the 1960s, down to the present day in the year 2007. These writings are a sore source of irritation to corrupt men, who like Nelson Rockefeller, did not realize that remaining in your Albany Governor's Office in November of 1963, does not offer any exculpatory evidence or alibis in your favor when Jack Kennedy was being brutally murdered in Dallas- by your own CIA.
6. On each and every single legal submission- orally and in writing- and all Xerox copies, notes, and correlative submissions made in association thereto. Police interviews are legal submissions.
7. On their files; their actual or inferred knowledge of terror, oil, uranium, wars, peace, drugs, securities, material witnessing, police, classmates, school teachers, and Government officers.
8. Against answering any questions on a request or subpoena from the United States Congress, or any Committee of the Congress, or any other federal officer- which includes all Federal Judges, plus all State and Local officers by way of FBI dialogue and Confidential Informant contracting.

Very Truly Yours,


George Mercier
Las Vegas, Nevada

Last year, the Plaintiff asked his Dad for a trip back to the State of New York to see a medical specialist that his Dad arranged for when the Plaintiff had a heart condition in the 1990s. The immediate emergency in the Summer of 2006 was a locked jaw, which precluded the Plaintiff from eating [the plaintiff fed himself through his rectum.] The Plaintiff was then a student at UNLV, and showed the medical problem to his college teacher, Ray Lewis. Because of the problem, the Plaintiff cancelled out of the class for the rest of the Semester.

The reason for this lawsuit a year later is that his Dad had previously refused him emergency medical treatment when requested: A food pump and some saline from the State of Utah. The reason for the refusal was quite obvious: The attorney for the Town of Brighton, Bill Mohle, who supervises the Mercier/Police Contract, refused to advance any money. That is an excellent example of how and why the Town of Brighton is actually the subrogee of the State of New York.

In the Apartment Complex of the Plaintiff, Occupants of Apartments #29 and #32 are hereby Impeached.