

FILED	Page 1 of 10	RECEIVED
ENTERED		SERVED ON
		COUNSEL/PARTIES OF RECORD
AUG 22 2006		
CLERK US DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
BY:		DEPUTY

George Mercier
P.O. Box 46711
Las Vegas, NV 89114

RECEIVED
IN DISTRICT BOX

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
IN AND FOR
THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA- at Las Vegas

George Mercier- Plaintiff

COMPLAINT

Vs.

2:06-cv-01031-PMP-RJJ

The U.S. Attorney David G. Bogen- Defendant
The United States Secret Service SAC Joseph Saitta- Defendant
The United States of America- Defendant
The State of Nevada- Defendant

....along with...

U.S. Marshal Gary D. Orton- Interested Party
The Las Vegas Metro Police- Interested Party
The State of Nevada/UNLV Police- Interested Party

This Case shall be known as ***Mercier vs. The United States Attorney, et al.***

COMES NOW THE PLAINTIFF, George Mercier, and hereby commences a civil action against the above named and referenced persons, under Rule 3 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, to wit:

Prefation Recitals:

This lawsuit is a Direct Attack on a criminal Case under assemblage by the United States Secret Service and the local United States Attorney's Office. Criminal cases being pre-emptively attacked by Americans are under the United States Supreme Court requirement that the Case must have been presented to a Judge, first- which this one has been [see their line of Direct and Collateral

Attack Cases, culminating recently with the **Seling Case**, at 531 U.S. 250 (January 17, 2001)].

1. The **Plaintiff, George Mercier**, is an aggrieved person, and a domiciliary of the State of Nevada and the County of Clark. Most of the circumstances presented herein to him transpired inside the State of Nevada.
2. The **Defendant, United States Attorney David G. Boyden**, is the lead prosecutor for claims originating from the Attorney General, in Washington, and thrown at Americans. One such Case that might be in gestation is against the Plaintiff- based on a continuing train of very serious circumstances presented to the Plaintiff in Las Vegas from the FBI, from the State of Nevada, and from the Las Vegas Metro Police.
3. **Defendant United States Secret Service SAC Joseph Saitta** is the President's bodyguard for the larger Las Vegas area- and based on the United States Supreme Court advising all Americans [in their **United States Case** at 407 U.S. 297 (1972)] that all American Presidents that they know of have illegally persecuted Americans they learned to dislike from High School- the United States Secret Service is presumptively on the Plaintiff's case- in addition to the FBI and the IRS [the old ...*get him boys*].
Joseph Saitta is included herein because about two weeks ago while the Plaintiff was reading the Presidential Immunity Cases of President Clinton and Paula Corbin Jones- George Mercier saw that the Arkansas State Trooper [the Governor's bodyguard, Daniel Ferguson] was lying to the Judiciary thoroughly- so here today on August 22nd, 2006, Joseph Saitta as the President's bodyguard is also presumptively lying away as well- and probably a rat story an hour to the U.S. Attorney's Office. Disgusting people are presumptively corrupt- and there it is again.
4. **Defendant United States of America** is supervising a criminal Case they should not be involved with. George Bush is neither old, nor experienced to handle the matter, nor performs well as a Chief of Police over Iraq.
5. **Interested Party Las Vegas Metro Police**, have been thoroughly Searching the Plaintiff, and in performing a reverse upstream analysis, evidencing the problem that there is a negative law enforcement problem in effect against the Plaintiff, George Mercier.
6. **Interested Party The State of Nevada** has on this day, presented George Mercier with an Search which is illegal under the Fourth Amendment, while the Plaintiff was walking this morning on the Campus of UNLV, which is operated and financed by the State of Nevada, and

under which UNLC police officers are State police officers for numerous purposes. The Campus police know, too, that something negative is afoot from Washington against George Mercier.

7. **Interested Party United States Marshal Gary D. Orton**, has been the object of some questions and inquiries on the Plaintiff, George Mercier. His inclusion herein is for the purpose of noticing him that George Mercier has a very long list of outstanding criminal complaints against the Federal Government, and is now taking the Fifth Amendment, in fractional retaliation, this day.
8. **Jurisdiction.** Original Jurisdiction of United States District Courts to hear this Case or Controversy falls under 28 U.S.C. 1343 ["Civil Rights"] (1948). Additional subject-matter jurisdiction falls under 28 U.S.C. 1331 ["Federal Question"] (1948), and 28 U.S.C. 1346 ["United States as Defendant"] (1948). Additional supplemental jurisdiction ["...off to the side..."] is invoked from 28 U.S.C. 1367 ["Supplemental Jurisdiction"] (1948) as an incidental Constitutional claim from the Fifth Amendment is invoked herein. Case summarized jurisdiction is invoked from the United States Supreme Court under **Bivens**, and their line of pedestrian/police encounter Cases.
9. **Consolidation of all federal-State pedestrian/police incidents:** This Case is a consolidation of all of George Mercier pedestrian/police encounters encountered during the past 6 years of George Mercier's domicile in the State of Nevada. The reason for the consolidation is that the Federal Government is on its final legs with President Bush's persecution of George Mercier, and therefore the United States Government might ask the State of Nevada, or one its municipal jurisdictions, to cover for them and go prosecute the Plaintiff with circumstantial displacement, on other grounds...

"...Litigants in federal suits can be criticized for seeking federal relief as an "insurance policy" against anticipated adverse judicial results in State Court. Federal action motivated as 'protective actions' with regards to pending State actions are '...not unnecessarily inappropriate'."

-See the U.S. Supreme Court in footnote 9 to the **Rockefeller Oil Derivative Case**, at ___ U.S. ___ (March 30, 2005)

This lawsuit is fully inclusive of all pending federal, state, and local criminal cases discussed, planned, or in consideration to be discussed, planned, or thrown at the Plaintiff, George Mercier, up to the present day and hour of submitting this Action to the local United States District Court in Las Vegas Nevada.

8. This action is brought under *Marbury vs. Madison*, which provides for Americans to file complaints against every single federal infraction committed by federal and State agents:

“The very essence of civil liberties certainly consists in the right of every individual to claim the protection of the laws, whenever he receives an injury. One of the first duties of Government is to afford that protection. In Great Britain, the king himself is sued in the respectful form of a petition, and he never fails to comply with the judgment of his Court.”

-**The Marbury Commissioning Case**, 5 U.S. 137, at 163
(February 24th, 1803)

Incidentally, at the present time, the United States Government is thoroughly selfish and corrupt- and all of you are headed into the Animals. In 1959 in a Title VII-ish Case before Title VII was enacted in 1964, the United States Supreme Court issued out the **Greene Case**, which on remand to District Court, the United States refused to honor. On second enforcement appeals, the Supreme Court took Certiorari just to enforce its own prior Order.

See: **The Greene Case**, 360 U.S. 474 (June 29, 1959)

Greene II, at 376 U.S. 149 (February 17, 1964)

A review of the United States Supreme Court in the 1900s having to take second certiorari readings of Cases because of national lower Court refusals to honor first certiorari Orders, reveals that this practice is fairly common in the land.

9. Pro Se. The Plaintiff is proceeding pro se, and is taking that measured amount of judicial relief that is available under pleadings that are to be “....construed liberally” [see the **Boag Case**, at 454 U.S. 364 (January 11, 1982)].

Background Facts

For the Federal Government:

On Friday afternoon at 5:15pm, the FBI helicopter threw a flight curve while Searching down the Plaintiff in metropolitan Las Vegas. This particular sighting was movie-class, in that the large sunset over the mountains to the west side of Las Vegas was just above the black silhouette of the FBI Helicopter flying somewhat northwards. The helicopter flew off on a NE heading thereafter to

Nellis Air Force Base. The Plaintiff was walking away from the Federal Building as a pedestrian when this Search transpired.

On Monday morning August 21st, the FBI Helicopter flew a diagonal flight at 6:10am, while the Plaintiff was walking to the Federal Building, and Searched the Plaintiff. The FBI Helicopter had just taken off from Nellis Air Force Base, and was flying a SW heading curving just north of the Stratosphere Casino.

Note that in both instances, the Plaintiff did not see any Memo drafting or note writings by FBI Helicopter pilots- rather, in some federal building somewhere else, and in Nevada State police offices, and in Las Vegas municipal police offices, numerous police summaries of the FBI Helicopter Search were being written down. And in the offices of the United States Secret Service SAC Joseph Saitta- he too was fast at work: He also wrote some notes in the matter.

For that reason, the FBI helicopter does not need to come actually that close to the Plaintiff in order to have an FBI Agent claim elsewhere that a "...line-of-sight sighting of George Mercier was undertaken this day"- when in fact any helicopter pilot could not identify the pedestrian on the ground from such a distance.

For the State of Nevada:

On Tuesday morning, the UNLV Campus police car #105 Searched the Plaintiff while the Plaintiff was waking on Campus.

On Thursday morning at 9:45am the Plaintiff was Searched inside the Lied Library by two noisy hoodlums, acting for and on behalf of the State of Nevada; they were trying to portray the trick that they were patrons- but it did no good. George Mercier got up and moved elsewhere, to another desk- with the result that the man of the two State agents followed him further.

For the Las Vegas Metro Police:

On Monday at 6:56am police car DT 1546 Searched the Plaintiff illegally, as the Plaintiff was sitting on a bench on the sidewalk on 4th Street at Clark. The Plaintiff had immediately been walking and sitting in a public park nearby, and had moved to the street, just moments before the police showed up, to try and

catch the Plaintiff in a municipal infraction. This Search was an illegal Search under the Fourth Amendment, and was preceded by illegal racial profiling and Conspiracy in violation of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 [the racial aspect of the racial profiling is later adjusted to remove both the racial factor and the religious factor as a defense against his being included in a protected class].

On Monday at 9:15am, at the corner of Twain and Paradise, the Las Vegas Metro Police, in car EX44573, Searched the Plaintiff while the car was parked on the sidewalk, and obstructed pedestrians from walking any further. As the Plaintiff approached the front bumper of the police car- the officer inside turned on his flashing lights, to warn that a law enforcement emergency was in effect, against George Mercier.

Based on the United States Supreme Court pedestrian/police encounter Cases:

1. **Terry vs. Ohio**
2. **Brown vs. Texas**
3. **Kolendar vs. Lawsen**
4. **The Illinois Case**

In those Cases, the Supreme Court wrote for pedestrian/police encounters, and also for pedestrian/police fuzzed encounters [because the police argue for curtailment of privacy expectations by reason of a criminal environment being in effect].

Count I

Violation of the Fourth Amendment

Being in violation of the Fourth Amendment, the Plaintiff hereby moves this Court for an annulment of the law enforcement and persecution value of each and every:

1. FBI Helicopter Search undertaken against the Plaintiff during the year 2006;
2. FBI pedestrian Searches conducted against the Plaintiff during the year 2006;
3. State of Nevada Helicopter Searches undertaken against the Plaintiff during the year 2006;

4. State of Nevada State Trooper motor vehicle Searches conducted against the Plaintiff during the year 2006;
5. State of Nevada State Trooper pedestrian Searches conducted against the Plaintiff during the year 2006 [particularly at banks and in merchant stores];
6. State of Nevada UNLV Campus Police motor vehicle Searches conducted against the Plaintiff during the year 2006;
7. State of Nevada pedestrian Searches on Campus conducted against the Plaintiff during the year 2006;
8. State of Nevada owned Las Vegas Convention Center employee and agent pedestrian Searches;
9. The State of Nevada “criminal environment pedestrian” Search and background check for its own manufactured criminal environment [by reason of tramps and homeless persons asked to reside there] at the intersection of Desert Inn Road and the Paradise Road;
10. Clark County Parks and Recreation specialized unit motor vehicles Searches undertaken against the Plaintiff during the year 2006;
11. Las Vegas Metro Police motor vehicle Searches conducted against the Plaintiff during the year 2006;
12. City of Henderson police motor vehicle Searches conducted against the Plaintiff during the year 2006;
13. City of Las Vegas pedestrian Searches conducted against the Plaintiff during the year 2006;
14. City of Henderson pedestrian Searches conducted against the Plaintiff during the year 2006;
15. Residential Searches of the Plaintiff’s home by “Maintenance personnel” under goofy, fictitious, and landlord manufactured entry reasons [one of which took place this morning], during the year 2006;
16. Larger residential premises and area Searches of the Plaintiff by his neighbors, under direction of law enforcement personnel, during the year 2006; This includes both the Diplomat Apartments, as well as other “neighbors” in other Apartment Complexes on Sierra Vista Drive- whether on or off of Sierra Vista Drive.
17. Larger residential premises and area Searches of the Plaintiff conducted by persons, acting under law enforcement direction, on Twain Avenue, during the year 2006;
18. All pedestrian law enforcement Searches of the Plaintiff that transpired on the Paradise Road during the year 2006. One of these was a fuzzed encounter with a possible Victor Song, a criminal agent with the IRS in southern California, who scouted and illegally Searched the Plaintiff-

deliberately as an indecent pedestrian with his porn legs on a Saturday morning, on the sidewalk in front of the Las Vegas Hilton Resort and Casino.

19. Clark County Police (School District # 47803 + all others in 2006

The Plaintiff hereby moves for a Federal Court Order directing that all law enforcement summaries of these Searches be expunged from the files of:

The FBI

The United States Attorney

The United States Secret Service

The State of Nevada

The Las Vegas Metro Police.

The Henderson Police

The Offices of the Clark County District Attorney

The Las Vegas Office of the Las Vegas City Attorney

The Claiming of the Fifth Amendment


The Self Incrimination Clause

The Plaintiff hereby makes application to Claim the Fifth Amendment/Self Incrimination Clause, for and on behalf of himself, and on also on behalf of John Ramsey, to wit:

1. On his personal records for the 1960s
2. On his personal records for the 1970s
3. On his personal records for the 1980s
4. On his personal records for the 1990s
5. On his personal records for the 2000s
6. On his business records for the 1960s
7. On his business records for the 1970s
8. On his business records for the 1980s
9. On his business records for the 1990s
10. On his business records for the 2000s
11. On his palm prints, finger prints, toe prints, foot prints, nail samples, blood stream content, tissue, RNA/DNA, urine, mucus, excrement, and epidermal secretions.
12. On his oral pronouncements, voice, tenor, demeanor, tone, and attitude.
13. On his state-of-mind, thoughts, ideas, sentiments, plans, and aspirations.
14. On his whereabouts when traveling out in Alien Territory.
15. On his whereabouts when traveling inside the United States of America.
16. On any recital to others of his own name, his date of birth, and his social security number.
17. On any re-presentation from himself to others, on any and all Government issued identification papers, cards, books, passports, and notes.
18. On his diary, his notes, his memos, his literary writings, and his fax and e-Mail transmissions; on typewriter ribbons, tape and diskettes cartridges produced; his lawsuits, Police, Marshals, and Court submissions.
19. On his threats, attempted vicarious encumbrances, and solicitations from and to others.
20. On his apparel, or the lack of apparel, in all private and all public forums.

Thank you very much.

Submitted with the Respect Due Under The Marbury Case,
With Grace and With Favor,
Very Truly Yours, In Trust,



George Mercier Plaintiff Pro Se

Signature Affixed Inside the Lloyd George federal Building
Tuesday, August 22nd, 2006

U.S. DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

Aug 22 4 49 PM '06

RECEIVED
IN 67-100X

With Grace and Favor:

May I approach the Bench:

The United States of America has stolen some property from the Plaintiff, which infringes on the First Amendment, and which should preclude the enablement of the United States of America to either originate, participate in, or supervise for others, any criminal process against the Plaintiff. Property stolen, and still outstanding, is:

- a) The Plaintiff's tape recordings of Richard Nixon's television speeches;
- b) The Plaintiff's tape cartridge recordings of his literary writings [as of 1992];
- c) The Plaintiff's files on THE IRAQ INSURGENCY, and OPEC
- d) The Plaintiff's handwritten files on U.S. Supreme Court jurisprudence in subject-matters area of significance to himself [from the 1980s].
- e) The Plaintiff's computer generated files on the United States Supreme Court jurisprudence in subject matter areas of significance to himself [from 2005+ era]

Once stolen and returned: The ATOMIC BOMB file.

All of that involves an infringement on First Amendment values, and Government office holders should be removed form Office.