

Notice of Denial

Michael D. Mason
 c/o 2807 Eagle Brier Cove
 Memphis, Tennessee state
 Non domestic without US

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT [sic]
 FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE [sic]
 WESTERN DISTRICT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA [sic])	
Plaintiff)	NOTICE OF DENIAL OF
)	PLEA OF NOT GUILTY
vs)	
)	Cr. No. 07-20071-B
MICHAEL D MASON)	
Defendant)	File on Demand
Michael D. Mason, a Real Party in Interest)	

Tennessee state)
) it is true
 Shelby county)

Michael D. Mason’s notice, as a Real Party in Interest, regardless if deemed in-artfully plead, must be held to a less stringent standard than formal pleadings drafted by bar-admitted attorneys and **can only be dismissed for failure to state a claim if it appears beyond a doubt that Michael D. Mason can prove no set of facts in support of [his] claims which would entitle them to relief.**” [in the nature of Haines v. Kerner, 404 U.S., 519-521; Richardson v. Flemming, 651 F.2d at 368 (quoting Estelle v. Gamble, 429 U.S. 97, 97 S.Ct. 285, 50 L.Ed.2d. 251, (1976); Conley v. Gibson, 355 U.S. 41, 78 S. Ct. 99, 2 L.Ed.2d 80 (1957); Alexander v Ware, 714 F.2d 416 (1983); Hayes v Western Weighing and Inspection Bureau, 838 F.2d. 1434 (5th Cir. 1988))]. Michael D. Mason’s factual allegations in the text must be accepted as true, along with any reasonable inferences that may be drawn there from. [in the nature of Ryland v. Shapiro, 708 F.2d. 987 (5th Cir.1983)]. Michael D. Mason’s petition must not be read “too narrowly”, but must instead be read “liberally” so that any inferences may be drawn there from. [in the nature of Bruce v. Wade, 537 F.2d.850 (5th Cir.1976)].

I, appearing as Michael D. Mason, Affiant, over the age of twenty-one years, with first-hand knowledge, competent to witness do say:

FACTS

1. That on the date of March 14, 2007, that Affiant, a Real Party in Interest, did appear specially by limited appearance in the USDC in the above referenced court, and
2. That Affiant did seek to find the nature and cause of Cr. No. 07-20071-B, and
3. That Affiant did notice the court of the bonds of Michael D. Mason, and
4. That James H. Allen dba MAGISTRATE JAMES H. ALLEN, a corporate fiction operating in limited liability insurance wagering scheme, failed to reveal the nature and cause of Cr. No. 07-20071-B, and

Notice of Denial

5. That James H. Allen dba MAGISTRATE JAMES H. ALLEN, a corporate fiction operating in limited liability insurance wagering scheme, extorted, with knowledge, the Affiant to enter a plea into the court without knowledge, and
6. That Affiant could not enter such plea with clean hands for lack of knowledge of the nature and cause of said Cr. No. 07-20071-B, and
7. That James H. Allen dba MAGISTRATE JAMES H. ALLEN, a corporate fiction operating in limited liability insurance wagering scheme, said the he would enter a plea of "not guilty", and
8. That Affiant took issue with James H. Allen dba MAGISTRATE JAMES H. ALLEN, a corporate fiction operating in limited liability insurance wagering scheme at least twice and said that Affiant disagreed with "not guilty", and
9. That James H. Allen dba MAGISTRATE JAMES H. ALLEN, a corporate fiction operating in limited liability insurance wagering scheme, ended the hearing standing on his entrance of a "not guilty" plea into Cr. No. 07-20071-B, and

IT IS OBVIOUS BEYOND REASON

10. That it is obvious to Affiant that James H. Allen dba MAGISTRATE JAMES H. ALLEN, a corporate fiction operating in limited liability insurance wagering scheme, was acting in bad faith, extortion of plea, contempt of court, dishonor, and general bad manners, and

EXPRESS DENIAL OF "NOT GUILTY"


11. Affiant expressly denies the "not guilty" plea entered into Cr. No. 07-20071-B by James H. Allen dba MAGISTRATE JAMES H. ALLEN, a corporate fiction operating in limited liability insurance wagering scheme, as contempt of court and dishonor of Cr. No. 07-20071-B, and

SHOW CAUSE FOR CONTEMPT

12. Therefore, James H. Allen dba MAGISTRATE JAMES H. ALLEN, a corporate fiction operating in limited liability insurance wagering scheme, is demanded to show cause why he should not be held in contempt, and why a tort claim should not issue to purge the contempt, and
13. That further Affiant saith not.

CERTIFICATION

I, Michael D. Mason, Affiant, on my own unlimited commercial liability, do state that I have read the above NOTICE OF DENIAL OF PLEA OF NOT GUILTY and do know the contents to be true, correct, and complete, and not misleading, the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, and do believe the above described acts to have been committed contrary to law.


Michael D. Mason, *sui juris*

3/19/07
date

Notice of Denial

NOTARY

I, Rhonda Norfleet, a notary residing in Shelby county, Tennessee state, do say that on the 19th day of March month, 2007, that a man known to me as Michael D. Mason, did appear in his true character and did affix his autograph to the above document in my presence.

Rhonda Norfleet
NOTARY PUBLIC

3-19-07
date

MY COMMISSION EXPIRES:
August 17, 2008

Seal



PROOF OF POST AND CONTENTS POSTED

I, Michael D. Mason, over the age of twenty-one years, competent with first hand knowledge do say that on the 19th day of March month, 2007, that I did cause to be posted by first-class post, postage pre-paid, the above NOTICE OF DENIAL OF PLEA OF NOT GUILTY to the following party:

US Attorney David Kustoff
Assistant US Attorney Stuart J. Canale
167 N. Main, Room 800
Memphis, Tennessee 38103

Michael D. Mason
Michael D. Mason

3/19/07
date