

3/9/07

Dear Roger,

How are you doing these days? I miss our correspondence, especially the Q & A episodes, although I've been forced to do some analyzing and puzzle solving myself over the last 60 days here at the Maricopa County Jail in Phoenix. I'm sure XXXX and maybe others have filled you in to some extent of what may have been labeled "Trouble" I got myself in, but with the volumes of information that is impressed on my mind on a daily basis, especially when I think to ask God to help me discern and understand a system that has all the signs of dibolicism & barbarism, and having to start a journal just to record these insights, I can't help but to believe that there is not an anterior motive for this experience. Of course as a manic depressive, I often think in illusions of grandeur. Illusions of grandeur or not, the following tales is no illusion and I think that perhaps you may be one of the only, if not the only one that can relate and perhaps add some insights of your own.

First, just to fill you in on the charges: On the 2006 case, we have 1 count felony class 2 Fraud Schemes: 1 count felony class 3 Theft of Means, 1 count Felony Class 4 Forgery. On the 2007 case, we have 1 count class4 Forgery.

On April 30th, 2005, I signed a Purchase Order at Bell Acura for a 2005 Acura MDX for \$47,091.31. My method of payment at the time was sending a "Notice of Pre-Authorized Transfer and "Authorized Transfer" "notarized" to John Snow-Sec. of Treasury. Then send copies of those with an original 8.5x11 "On Demand Exchange item (IBOE) that had a "Statement of Account", "Processing Instructions" and a few other things such as the routing number to the Federal Reserve Bank in Richmond that was designated based on the letter "E" that is on the back of my Social Security Card followed by 8 digits. The theory being that there are 12 Federal Reserve Banks identified by the letters A-L, which is usually in red, but sometimes black and sometimes no numbers to the person would just reapply for a new card. That is if there is any merit to that line of thinking, which is the "Bond" numbers on the back for the "Private side" and number on front for the "Public Side".

My public defender sent me the Police report on that issue about 30 days ago and it had all those docs in there and I noticed something that I didn't catch before: Treasury Directive 25-06 requires the "Original" invoice in order for one to discharge. With "Tax Recovery" not smart to discharge one's own credit, but back then that was the "in Process". But there was no emphasis on getting the "Original"; it was assumed a copy "Accepted for Value" was good enough. Now I know why the agencies keep the originals- and if you are correct that they become "Banker's Acceptances", thus a member of the "legal Tender" family, and then do they not become self-discharging or "Pre-Paid" per HJR-192 dollar for dollar? So the court keeps the Original Judgments, Bonds, Pleas, Orders etc; The Banks keep the Original Mortgages, Prom.N., etc; the dealerships keep the original "Purchase Orders".

However, I short circuited the payment at Acura when at the same time I came across a check style IBOE and deposited into their account. Then faxed them the receipt and copy of IBOE. Next day they called to take delivery, which I did the next day.

About 8 days hence and after visiting with my former acquaintance in prison in Tucson, I decided to trade it in and take the difference in cash and get him an attorney. While on the way to Superstition Springs Mitsubishi, a man from Bell Acura was in a round-about-way talking about the bank and trying to re-contract with me, but finally brought himself to tell me he wanted the MDX back. I was polite, but ignored him and drove on to Mitsubishi.

So I traded the MDX for a Chevy Illumina I think for \$10,000 and \$25,000 in cash only they wanted the title before they gave me the case, but they let me take the car – of course they had the MDX. So they were trying to get the title, which was already on its way in the process, but Bell Acura had filled them full of lies so now they wanted the Chevy back.

I brought back the Chevy, but they would not let me take the MDX until I threatened to sue. Prior to that, the manager of Mitsubishi had me come in his office where the guy from Bell Acura was on speaker and the manager asked both us which one could show proof of ownership. All my papers were at home, but it was registered to me and I had insurance with no “Lien Holders”. The man from Bell Acura claimed he owned it because he had the “MCO”. It didn’t occur to me at the time until harkening back after reading the police report, but how could he have the “MCO” when it was offered to me, but I chose to give P.O.A. to Bell Acura to register it instead? Even more important, could this man be bluffing? How can the State issue a “Certificate of Title” without the actual Title to issue from (MCO)? This is how the state owns everyone’s cars, which I’m not concerned with as long as I control it. The Police report states that the police could not tell if it was stolen as it was in my name.

The Manager at Mitsubishi was worried of my law suit threat and sent me on my way with the MDX. Bell Acura had called the police and the Police report shows that they had located from “OnStar” although they had my address, and were waiting up the street until someone drove away. This, I find interesting as if it was stolen, one would think they would not play these cat & mouse games and knock on doors. Maybe they think they only have Jurisdiction over “water ways” (roads) or if some one privately contracts with them by calling them. So I was going somewhere and there they were in the rear-view so I pulled over about a block away where I did not resist them detaining me for an hour while getting mesmerized with all my paperwork and as stated, it was registered to me so they were not sure if it was stolen or not. In the end, they let me go, but impounded the MDX. Besides a couple of messages left by a detective 2 months later, which we never connected, I never heard anymore on the matter. With more belief that the MDX was actually mine, I might of filed some type of complaint.

It turn out that even though I didn’t hear anything until 21 months hence, the Police report shows that a “warrant” was filed 5 months later on January 20th, 2006. I was stopped in Phoenix shortly after that for no insurance, registration and fictitious plates and got a ticket, but the warrant never came up. About a month hence, I got another ticket in Mesa fore the same things, but now driving on a suspended license as well due to not showing to court for the ticket in Phoenix. But again, the MDX warrant did not show.

With the learning of “Tax Recover”, I did the 1099OID on both tickets and did them with not much understanding or neatness thus, according to the “Tax Protestors” in those agencies, they were to no avail. Later, with the 1040-V Assessment info, I did the assessment on the Phoenix

Bond, but never did on the Mesa Bond and never followed up to see how it turned out; but discovered the answer 3 different times while in the system.

End of Part I
“MDX FIASCO”

On January 7th of 2007, I noticed a 2005 Ford Mustang for sale from a private seller. The next day I called the guy in which he met me where he had moved the car in the Fry’s shopping center across the street from his bank of Wells Fargo. He wasn’t interested in writing up a contract and I was banned from his bank from a past occurrence, so it was more of an experimental situation. It reminds me however, when you quoted the Lord from the Bible where He says to stay away from certain places.

Si I give the guy my Tender of payment and he goes in the Bank and the lady recognized my name and calls the Police. The police let me know when they show that I had a warrant out of Mesa, but say nothing about Phoenix. On the way to the station, I ask about whether there was a warrant out of Phoenix and there was Not. The Assessment with 1040-V moved it off the radar.

The Police station is where this chapter of the “book” begins, hence the term”Booked”. The first page of the “book is the info regarding you “Trust Account” by signing your name just under the name of the trust: XXXXXXXXXXXX on the finger print card. Later, sitting you down while a cop gets in front of a computer to ascertain more information so the “Agents Provocateurs” can add to the first page of the book in order to know what to “charge” and where to send the “Bill” to. So the first question while in a state of “Rest” or “Arrest” is: What is your date of berth? Answer: I am a natural man, thus I was not born, and I was “conceived”. Where were you Born? Same answer. Maritime Vessels are constructed in a Lagoon type area and when the “Water Breaks” or High tide, it moves through the “Berth Canal” to set sail on the ocean. And finally, the “Money” question: What is the Account No. of the Trust? Answer: SSN: XXXXXXXX. Also, of course, we give them the date of berth and place of berth to help them know to charge the constructive, “Ceste’ Que” or “Foreign sides” Trust. So now the police have “Page 1” of the “Book” and transport this “cargo” to Phoenix to the Maricopa County Jail where due to another Jurisdiction, a new set of prints and photo take.

While waiting in a holding tank to go to “initial Appearance” Court, a deputy finger Prints me again with what they literally call “Page II”. A “Page II” is where there are additional charges arising from the same incident or charges floating out there that they didn’t see before. I’ve always wondered why they call it that and it could be the 2nd page of the “book”! Well this page II was the MDX Warrant. The deputy told me I might have to go to court twice.

So while in Court when I go before the Judge on the Wells Fargo fiasco with just the 1 count of forger, I wait and see if there is a bond and after asking my “Berth date” and Name” so as to confirm that I represent the “Trust”, the judge then sets a bond at \$2,880.00. I then ask the Judge if he has my copy of the I.R.S. Form 1099-OID on this issue? I also asked the police at first contact at the Fry’s lot to which they were befuddled as to what I was talking about, but one officer did say he uses 1099’s with his job. “Independent Contractor” perhaps.

Well the Judge responded back by saying: "The prosecutor has it" or "would have it" or something along that line. Based on his demeanor though, I don't think he knew what a 1099-OID was – The question was official enough sounding so he passed the buck to the Prosecutor, but he was ad-libing since the Prosecutor would not get the case for at least 3 days. The Originators of this "New Issue" I would think would be the lady at the Bank as the "Payer" and the Police as the "Recipient" and should have been apart of "Page I" and followed the "Book" to the County Jail.

So I may have belabored the point a bit much and might have asked him if he had my check and then that I would need the Original Bond so that I could discharge it under Public Policy, notwithstanding not wise to discharge one's own credit. But instead my comment was: "...Then there is no issue is there..."? He responded again, but don't recall then he handed all "copies" to the girl to have left for me to sign. In my state of mind I wasn't sure what to do next so I thought about it while staring at the Bond and the Deputy Sheriff, whom already sees this to be an abnormal situation gets close to me and says: "...it's a copy..." Then I make the comment: "...I can't sign this – there is no issue..." but of course in "Tax Recovery" it need not matter, but always better to sign. Well the 2 deputies claimed that I had "Tensed-up", which I might have done by moving into some dishonor. Much of what I realize now I didn't grasp then. The 1099, where's the check and I need the Original Bond. So the deputies cuffed me and briskly hauled me away into a single cell.

There were several things that occurred or didn't occur after requesting the 1099-OID:

FIRST: Instead of going back to court on the MDX Warrant "Page II", this time the Judge comes to my cell to tell me "I" (The trust) has been "charged" and the Bond is \$45,000.

SECOND: I ask again where the 1099-OID is on this issue and this time both the Judge and Deputy point to the Bond and say "There it is"! Their body language gave off the indication that they had called someone to find out what a 1099-OID was and was told to say it was the bond. As ludicrous as that is, I've often wondered if there was not some hidden meaning behind that gesture as with the public, we must often read them in the negative. And if you look at Bond, there are some similarities. On the Bond for example, you have the Judge's signature and my signature. They want me to pay so I would be the "payer" and Judge the "Recipient" although it would be the clerk who I would have to pay. Also, you have the amount of the Bond could be the "New Issue", except they only put 10% of the issue in the bond and hide the 90%. No Tax-Payer I.D.# in the bond of course and why would there be as the bond is designed to "Defer" the Tax according to you. The Account # is my or the # to the trust: SSN: XXXXXXXX and only asked for 1 time at the Police station and never again, but of course they have it through out. The "Withholding" could be the 90% you don't see. The description is definitely there with: State v. Defendant.

It's almost a thought in years gone by, they had decided to replace the 1099-OID with the Bond and then use the 1099-A when the Trustee, Captain, Manager or whatever we are, had "abandoned" ship.

THIRD: Everyone gets a piece of paper that has their charges on it outlined in green called a "Charge Sheet" I believe, I never received one.

FOURTH: I had to be taken back the next day for finger prints as they claimed the first set disappeared.

So from that moment on, I began a campaign to acquire some 1040-V's. Requesting the 1099-OID is all I could do up to this point, but was expecting the "Complaint" and "Indictment" in order to assess them as My Personal Income and recapture the Prize to tax back to the Source Treasury Direct (my SS#).

I might have made a mistake when I fell into the "I cant afford an Attorney" trap and given a "Public Defender" as I have often wondered whom they are defending. Surely not the live man/woman, so obviously the Social Security Trust", which is a Public Creation, then why not a better defense? In any case, after 2.5 weeks, I was not getting anything to assess except the Bonds, but again only 10% of the actual "Withholding" was showing up in the Bond. I determined by using Algebra, which I notice you use frequently and anyone that uses logical deduction to determine facts is using algebra. That is to say, if you have 2 pieces of the puzzle, you can find the 3rd. part of the scam is to have the victim come up with 10% of the 10% going left plus collateral. I was think then that maybe they are operating in increments of 10% so then \$45,000 should be 10% of something, which on simply adds a -0_ to get the actual value of the account or \$450,000. After sentencing it would be \$4,500,000.00 At least this is what I deduce in trying to get the actual assessable amount to assess as my taxable income.

So I got impatient and assessed the Bonds at face value, but since I had no luck at coming up with any 1040-V's from 4 family members and 2 inmates that I was trying to educated on "Tax Recovery" in hope of helping the yes, but also to get their people to send some 1040-V. In the end, I wrote up a "letter Rogatory" to the clerk of Court as also Titled "NOTICE OF BOND ASSESSED AS PERSONAL INCOME". The "PAY TO THE U.S. TREASURY" with the \$300 Penalty for Private Use" was of course on each bond as was my wife inserting the 1040-V's with each case. I then followed those up with 'EXPARTE MOTIONS TO DISMISS" on each case. The "Ex Parte" coming from the live man I believe instead of the Defendant/Vessel. A good friend of ours typed everything up and was filed on Jan 29, 2007.

I finally retrieved my Police report on the Mustang case at a court hearing when I told the Public Defender I wanted to go "Pro Per" and in the report contained my "Complaint". I also got my "indictment" from my actual Public Defender mailed to me in that Police report for the MDX case. That report showed some interesting documents, such as a tax sheet that showed the state and county taxes from the sale of the MDX at \$3,000+. Dealership profits after commissions came to \$2,000+. There was a letter from LaTonya Wilson from the "Office of the Executive Secretary of the Treasury" saying that my IBOE"S etc were not "valid Treasury Securities". Of course I never stated that they were "Treasury Securities". There was also an "OCC Alert" letter from the "Comptroller of the Currency" to watch out for "Fictitious Obligations". This Alert didn't seem to know the difference betwixt "obligations" and "Legal Tender".

This Police report also had a copy of HJR-192, which I closely studied further. Regardless of the debate over HJR-192, I now see that every Obligation = Bond, Contract, Promise, Indebtness, Liability, Debt, etc, no longer contains a provision that requires a particular Kind of coin or

currency, but with copies, they obviously hold the collateral until they get their “Particular Kind of Coin or Currency”. As Tax Protestors they have the best of all worlds they think.

So the “Complaint” & “Indictment” has now been sent out to assess, although a bit of a bottle neck to get them assessed due to some challenges on the home front due to my absence so will just accept that situation like I do everything else.

However, an interesting thing may have occurred on 2/26 in court. It reminded me of the 1st & 2nd delivery and the “Cancellation” of the contract on the property on that house I bought where I had sent you the definition of “Escrow” and your algebraic mind had put 2 and 2 together and deducted that by cancelling the contract they were agreeing that there is no money on the 2nd delivery.

Well with the court on 2/26, it was both cases merging to one. My Public Defender was mysteriously on vacation so the guy that was there had me sign a “Release of Medical” from “Value Options”, a State sponsored Mental Health Organization.

Then the attorney asked for a 3 week extension due to a possible church attorney helping out. The Judge looked at me and asked if that was o.k. in which I said “yes”. Then I asked the Judge if I could ask a question in which she said “Yes”. I asked her “in case I needed to go “Pro Per”, what was the procedure?” She told me that I needed to get a form from my attorney and submit it to the court. Then she said: “..then you’re on your own..” in a disgruntled kind of way. The he went on to say the following: “...I read your motions...those motions have been rejected...” “Don’t ever file anymore motions in this court again...!”

It took me 5 days to discern what she was not only telling me, but in open court to the Prosecutor as well: The two primary things I let them know were 1) I had assessed the bonds and 2) There was no 1099-OPID’s on the issue. I used some minor issues such as the “Clearfield Doctrine” and how you can’t argue the facts in a Military Venue. The only thing she could possibly be conveying was her acknowledgement that there is “No Money”. Thus “Rejecting” my attempt to get the court to move. She could have “Denied”, but a “Denial” as you point out , is a “Dishonour” “Withholding”. Whether she would know that a denial is a dishonour with-holding is uncertain, but I’m sure she would know that it is a “holding” nonetheless. In addition, the Denials I’ve received in the past have always come the explanations. If the Judge tried to explain a “Rejection” she would be defeating her purpose to stay out of Jurisdiction. Better to give a blanket rejection than to explain why.

Also, you have said that the state requires direct evidence via testimony/hearing and the Federal Indirect; its interesting that I received no “Minute Entry” on the Jan 29th filings until just after I appeared in her court on 2/26. They call it a “Minute Entry”, which is their way of repeating on paper what took place in court or a response to a motion or other filing. One may argue that I had counsel and that the Judge “Rejected” due to that, but in her notice to the court and me, she never said that was the reason. Indeed, and now that I think about it, its almost humorous in that no sooner did she explain how to go “Pro Per”, she, in the next breath, made sure I was not to go “Pro Per” in “Her Court!” Aside from the more deeper thing on the meaning of her edict; I’m wondering what the Prosecution is thinking knowing that I, even as “Pro Per”, can never

participate in my own defense (not that I would want to with Tax Recovery, but for the sake of argument) by filing “Discover Motions”; “Motions to Exclude Evidence”; “Motions to Compel”; “Motions for Evidentiary Hearings” ad naziam!

So here I am in a kind of limbo state with my release depending on the Public Defender who is representing the “Public”, but does show signs of exploiting my affiliations with “Value Options” due to the Manic Depression. Possibly the Church Attorney could get some charges dropped or in this case “Scratched”! The other course of action out-side the need of Esquires is “Habeas Corpus”, which I have tow in the oven, but due to the representation of counsel, I had to send via her and no response yet, so may have to go “Pro Per”! Then too, once “Pro Per”, what court do I go to? Ha, Ha!

Maybe the court is waiting for the 2nd delivery for my concurrence that there is No Money and Escrow Closed!

Well this sums up my very valuable educational experience in the Maricopa County Jail. Your response is welcome, but no hurry, at your leisure. Also, would like to know what’s going on in your life and your success in getting your farm back, etc.

Good hunting!